OHIO STATE MEDICAL ASSOCIATION 2020 HOUSE OF DELEGATES

REPORT OF RESOLUTIONS COMMITTEE 2

Presented by Alisha Reiss, MD, Chair, District 1

Rajiv Patel, MD	1 st District
James Bryant, MD	2 nd District
Jocelyn Wray, MD	3 rd District
Robert Kose, MD	4th District
	5 th District
Sean McGrath, MD	6 th District
Anita Somani MD	7th District

Anita Somani, MD

Christopher Brown, MD

Strict

Stric

Jennifer M. Ridge, MD Specialty Society

Kelley Chen, MD Resident & Fellows Section Rebecca Glowinski (OSU) Medical Student Section

All recommendations of the committee are provided on the Consent Calendar to be considered by the House of Delegates as one action to approve the Consent Calendar. A delegate may ask that a resolution be extracted. For 2020, extracted resolutions will be referred to Council for consideration, disposition and report to the 2021 House of Delegates. A request to extract a resolution must include recommended alternative action or amendment language with sufficient explanation of the reason for the extraction request.

The Resolutions Committee can recommend the following actions: Reaffirm; Adopt; Not Adopt; Refer; Refer for Report Back; Refer for Decision; Amend; or Adopt In Lieu Of.

Printed at the end of this report are the resolutions in the form as recommended by the committee. If the committee recommends amending the original statement, the amendments are shown by striking through the original language and capitalizing the new language (example: one TWO). An Adopt In Lieu Of resolution will be identified in the title as Adopt In Lieu Of Resolution xx-yyyy and the new text will be in bold type. Resolutions that have been replaced by an Adopt In Lieu Of will be printed after the Adopt In Lieu Of with the original language stricken since the originals are no longer pending before the House.

Resolutions Committee 2, after giving careful consideration to the several items referred to it, moves that the Consent Calendar be adopted as presented.

41	CONSENT CALENDAR
42 43 44 45 46 47	The Consent Calendar includes the committee's recommendations for reaffirmation, adoption, referral, or non-adoption of those resolutions referred to the committee for consideration. A request may be made to remove any item from the Consent Calendar for referral to Council. Extractions must include recommended alternative action or amendment language with sufficient explanation of the reason for the extraction request.
48 49	RECOMMENDED FOR REAFFIRMATION:
50 51	RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION:
52 53 54 55	Resolution 15- 2020 - Supporting Gender-Affirming Care for Transgender and Gender Minority Patients
56 57	Resolution 16- 2020 - Strengthen Awareness of the Importance of Good-Faith Prescription Donations to the Ohio Drug Donation Repository and the Free Clinics It Serves
58 59 60	Resolution 18- 2020 - Time Frames for Insurance Charge Submission
51 52	Resolution 19- 2020 - Out-of-Network Billing
63	Resolution 23- 2020 - Government Pay for Government Mandates
64 65	Resolution 25- 2020 - Co-Pay Accumulators
66 67	Resolution 26- 2020 - Bundled Payments and Medically Necessary Care
68 69	RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION AS AMENDED OR ADOPT IN LIEU OF:
70 71 72	Amended Resolution 22- 2020 - Improving the Veterans Health Administration Referrals for Veterans for Care outside the VA System
73 74	Amended Resolution 27- 2020 - 2020 OSMA Policy Sunset Report
75 76	RECOMMENDED FOR REFERRAL TO COUNCIL:
77 78 79	RECOMMENDED FOR REFERRAL TO COUNCIL FOR REPORT BACK:
80 81	Resolution 17- 2020 - Refining OSMA Position on Healthcare Financing Reform
82	Resolution 20- 2020 - Network Adequacy
83 84	Resolution 21- 2020 - Insurance and Third-Party Networks
85 86	RECOMMENDED FOR REFERRAL TO COUNCIL FOR DECISION:
87 88	RECOMMENDED FOR NON-ADOPTION:
89 90 91	Resolution 14- 2020 - Multidisciplinary Approach to Safer Care of Obese Patients in Healthcare Settings

Resolution 24- 2020 - Determination of Inpatient/Outpatient Hospital Status – EXTRACTED (referral to Council)

Resolution 14-2020 - NOT ADOPT Multidisciplinary Approach to Safer Care of Obese Patients in Healthcare Settings

Comments: There were only two comments made on this resolution and both recommended that this resolution not be adopted as written, the reason being that the resolution was asking for guidelines to be developed, which as testimony stated, is outside OSMA purview and capabilities. Asking the OSMA to undertake this comes at a large fiscal cost. While the OSMA would support safe care of obese patients, the committee believes that organizations and entities with expertise in the subject of obesity should develop the best practice standards. Then, those entities could ask OSMA to support education to our members regarding these best practices.

RESOLVED, That the OSMA develop new policy specifically addressing a multidisciplinary approach to safer care of obese patients across the continuum of care in healthcare settings; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA work with interested parties including specialty organizations, hospitals, healthcare systems and state health organizations to develop best practice standards in a multidisciplinary approach to safer care of obese patients across the continuum of care in healthcare settings; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA delegation to the AMA present this resolution to the Organized Medical Staff Section of the AMA to help develop national best practice standards addressing safer care for the obese patient across the continuum of care in healthcare settings.

Fiscal Note: \$ None Provided (Sponsor) \$ 50.000 (Staff)

Resolution 15-2020 - ADOPT Supporting Gender-Affirming Care for Transgender and Gender Minority Patients

Comments: All testimony provided was in strong support of the resolution, and the comments made in support indicated that this is a timely issue to assist a vulnerable patient population. The committee recognized that while there is existing policy related to the care of transgender and gender minority patients, this resolution allows the OSMA to support more broad education and training for caring for this vulnerable population. Committee members brought to light that there are other barriers to care including electronic medical records and certain insurance practices, but felt that these could be addressed by future resolutions.

RESOLVED, That the OSMA reaffirm existing Policy 23-2016 - Expanding Gender Identity

Options on Physician Intake Forms (see below relevant policy); and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA supports individualized, gender-affirming, evidence-based treatment and clinical practices in caring for transgender and gender minority patients; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA supports educational training to further educate healthcare providers on how to provide competent, respectful, evidence-based care to transgender and gender minority patients.

147148

145

146

149 Fiscal Note: \$5,000 (Sponsor) 150 \$5,000 (Staff)

10.

151

152153

154

Resolution 16-2020 - ADOPT

Strengthen Awareness of the Importance of Good-Faith Prescription
Donations to the Ohio Drug Donation Repository and the Free Clinics It Serves

155156157

158159

Comments: All testimony provided was in support of the resolution, and the committee agreed that the OSMA should support these efforts to increase awareness of the program and its importance as a resource to free clinics and the patients they serve, especially given the issue of rising prescription drug costs.

160161162

163

RESOLVED, That the OSMA support efforts to increase public and private sector awareness of the importance of good-faith prescription donation to the Ohio Drug Donation Repository Program, and the free clinics it serves.

164 165 166

Fiscal Note: \$5,000 (Sponsor)

\$5,000 (Staff)

168 169 170

167

Resolution 17-2020 - REFER FOR REPORT BACK Refining OSMA Position on Healthcare Financing Reform

171172173

174

175

176

177178

179

180 181

182 183

184

185 186 Comments: There was mixed testimony provided on this resolution, with passionate comments both in support and in opposition. The committee carefully considered the Resolved clauses of the resolution and noted that, as worded, there was some uncertainty about several phrases, leading to some concerns about what exactly the resolution was asking for the OSMA to do versus the intent of the resolution. Several points were brought up which the committee felt needed clarification, including the issue of the definition of "universal health access" versus "universal health coverage." The committee agrees that access to health care is a huge issue, and appreciates that concern. Given the testimonies provided, it is clear that there is a significant desire from some of the OSMA membership to make the OSMA more open to considering evidencebased proposals for universal health access, without excluding free market health insurance options. Ultimately, the committee believes that given the complexity of this issue, a report from Council may help to clarify its intricacies. Furthermore, the committee felt that this decision should be made by the entire OSMA HOD, and to facilitate this, recommended referral to Council to report back in 2021 with recommendations for consideration by the HOD.

188 189 190

191

187

RESOLVED, That the Ohio State Medical Association consider evidence-based proposals to universal health insurance that preserve the freedom of choice, freedom of practice, and universal access for patients; and, be it further

192 193 194

195

RESOLVED, The Ohio State Medical Association rescind Policy 11 – 2010 (Promoting Free Market-Based Solutions to Health Care Reform):

196		
197 198		1A promotes free market based solutions to improve access and cost effectiveness of delivery in the United States; and, be it further
199 200 201	RESOLVED, Tha Insurance Covera	the Ohio State Medical Association amend Policy 05 – 2011 (Universal Health e) as follows:
202 203 204 205 206	through n 2.OSMA	A reaffirms support for supports universal health insurance access for all Americans arket based initiatives to create incentives for the purchase of coverage. Ind AMA will pursue legislative and regulatory reform to achieve universal health access through free market solutions; and, be it further
207 208	RESOLVED, Tha	the Ohio State Medical Association rescind Policy 13 – 1995 (Privatizing Medicare):
209 210 211	1.The OS and, be it	1A supports privatizing Medicare including the use of the medical savings accounts.; urther
212 213 214	RESOLVED, Tha	the Ohio State Medical Association rescind Policy 14 – 1995 (Privatize Medicaid):
215 216	1.The OSMA sup 1996.	orts privatizing Medicaid including the use of medical savings accounts. Reaffirmed in
217 218 219 220		500 (Sponsor) 500 (Staff)
221222223224		Resolution 18-2020 - ADOPT Time Frames for Insurance Charge Submission
224225226227	resolution wou	comments provided as testimony were in support of the resolution. This d ask that the OSMA support parity between time requirements asked of those asked of insurers.
228229230231232	allowed for phys	t the OSMA work to prevent insurance companies from changing the time cians to submit charges for services (such as from 180 days to 90 days) in the act period; and, be it further
232233234235		t the OSMA work to require at least 180-day notice if the time to submit ased by an insurance company; and, be it further
236 237 238 239	paid fees to the	t the OSMA work to limit the time that an insurance company has to take back ame amount of time that physicians have to submit charges (i.e. no take back harges must be submitted in 90 days).
240 241 242	Fiscal Note:	\$ 1,000 (Sponsor) \$ 5,000 (Staff)
243244245246		Resolution 19-2020 - ADOPT Out-of-Network Billing

Comments: All comments provided as testimony were in support of the resolution. The content of this resolution aligns well with the OSMA's current advocacy initiatives on the topic of out-of-network billing. The committee recommended adoption of the resolution.

RESOLVED, That the OSMA rescind Policy 19 – 2010 (Lifting the Restrictions on Balance Billing):

1. The OSMA supports repeal of regulations currently in place that prohibit balance billing for physicians; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA adopt its own policy similar to AMA policy H-285.904, to read as follows:

- 1. The OSMA adopts the following principles related to unanticipated out-of-network care:
 - A. Patients must not be financially penalized for receiving unanticipated care from an out-of-network provider.
 - B. Insurers must meet appropriate network adequacy standards that include adequate patient access to care, including access to hospital-based physician specialties. Ohio regulators should enforce such standards through active regulation of health insurance company plans.
 - C. Insurers must be transparent and proactive in informing enrollees about all deductibles, copayments and other out-of-pocket costs that enrollees may incur.
 - D. Prior to scheduled procedures, insurers must provide enrollees with reasonable and timely access to in-network physicians.
 - E. Patients who are seeking emergency care should be protected under the "prudent layperson" legal standard as established in state and federal law, without regard to prior authorization or retrospective denial for services after emergency care is rendered.
 - F. Out-of-network payments must not be based on a contrived percentage of the Medicare rate or rates determined by the insurance company.
 - G. Minimum coverage standards for unanticipated out-of-network services should be identified. Minimum coverage standards should pay out-of-network providers at the usual and customary out-of-network charges for services, with the definition of usual and customary based upon a percentile of all out-of-network charges for the particular health care service performed by a provider in the same or similar specialty and provided in the same geographical area as reported by a benchmarking database. Such a benchmarking database must be independently recognized and verifiable, completely transparent, independent of the control of either payers or providers and maintained by a non-profit organization. The non-profit organization shall not be affiliated with an insurer, a municipal cooperative health benefit plan or health management organization.
 - H. Mediation and/or Independent Dispute Resolution (IDR) should be permitted in all circumstances as an option or alternative to come to payment resolution between insurers and providers.
- 2. The OSMA will advocate for the principles delineated in this policy for all health plans, including ERISA plans.
- 3. The OSMA will advocate that any legislation addressing surprise out of network medical bills use an independent, non-conflicted database of commercial charges; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA's delegation to our AMA submit a resolution at A-20 asking for this amendment to Item H in their policy.

298 Fiscal Note: \$ 10,000 (Sponsor) 299 \$ 10,000 (Staff) 302 Resolution 20-2020 - REFER FOR REPORT BACK Network Adequacy

Comments: All testimony provided for the resolution generally supported the resolution, but there was some desire expressed for the committee to develop stronger, more actionable language. The committee agrees that this is an important and timely issue, but believes that it lacks the specificity that justifies the fiscal note and creates strong OSMA policy. The committee briefly discussed combining Resolution 20 and 21 as committee members noted similarities between these two resolutions; however, ultimately decided to refer Resolution 20 and 21. The committee believes that the OSMA Council can hone this resolution and report back to the HOD in 2021 with a more defined and specific proposal.

RESOLVED, That the OSMA advocate for legislation to require quarterly reporting to the Ohio Department of Insurance by health insurers on network adequacy measures; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA advocate for legislation which offers financial protection to patients who seek care out-of-network when not available in-network within defined time and geographic limits; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA advocate for reasonable coverage of out-of-network services when the patient does not have any choice/option for in-network services.

Fiscal Note: \$ 50,000 (Sponsor) \$ 50,000 (Staff)

Resolution 21-2020 - REFER FOR REPORT BACK Insurance and Third-Party Networks

Comments: The committee felt this overlapped with the prior resolution; therefore, this resolution should also be referred to Council for more study and to report back to the HOD.

RESOLVED, That the OSMA study and report back on the anticompetitive and potential antitrust violations of the insurance networks, and consider possible solutions to these expensive and restrictive programs, which might include either ending the network system or the formation of physician networks in order to compensate for unbalanced negotiation tactics.

Fiscal Note: \$ 0 (Sponsor) \$ 20,000 (Staff)

Resolution 22-2020 - AMEND

Improving the Veterans Health Administration Referrals for Veterans for Care outside the

VA System

Comments: Comments provided were generally supportive of the resolution. There was a question raised about whether Resolve 1 is duplicative. The committee discussed this, and after further consideration, given the federal nature of issues concerning the VA, the committee decided, based on the comments and testimony, to add a clause to submit this to the AMA. In its amendments, the committee changed the order of the Resolved clauses so that the new first Resolved serves as a directive to the OSMA, and the second as a directive to the Ohio delegation to take this issue to the AMA.

RESOLVED, That the Ohio State Medical Association advocate for reform of the Veterans Health Administration to provide timely and complete payment for veterans' care received outside the VA system and accurate and efficient management of travel reimbursement for that care; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA, by means of the OSMA website, as well as written letters to elected federal legislators and the U.S. President, support actively both reform for the VA to provide timely and complete payment for care provided to veterans outside the VA system and reform for the VA to provide accurate and efficient management of veterans' travel expenses for that care.

RESOLVED, THAT THE OHIO STATE MEDICAL ASSOCIATION DELEGATION TO THE AMA ASK THE AMA TO ADVOCATE FOR REFORM OF THE VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION TO PROVIDE TIMELY AND COMPLETE PAYMENT FOR VETERANS' CARE RECEIVED OUTSIDE THE VA SYSTEM AND ACCURATE AND EFFICIENT MANAGEMENT OF TRAVEL REIMBURSEMENT FOR THAT CARE.

Fiscal Note: \$ 500 (Sponsor) \$ 1,000 (Staff)

Resolution 23-2020 - ADOPT Government Pay for Government Mandates

Comments: The testimony given was generally in support of the resolution, and the committee felt that these comments communicated a strong concern about a timely and serious issue for many physicians. After discussion, the committee generally agreed with the testimonies supporting the resolution. There was some discussion about clarifying the first Resolved clause to be more specific; however, after consideration the committee chose to leave the language as is. The committee felt that decisions in patient care should ultimately be made by physician judgment, rather than a computer algorithm. Augmented Intelligence should be just that - a tool to augment the physician's treatment. The committee acknowledged that this issue may be even bigger than the resolution as written, and might be expanded upon in the future.

RESOLVED, That the OSMA advocate for policies that allow for physician judgment and documented medical decision-making to supersede government regulation – including the utilization of Augmented Intelligence – in instances of disputes in patient care; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA advocate for policies that require "proof of concept," in the form of independently demonstrated quality improvement, prior to the implementation of any government, insurance company or other third party mandate or regulation on patient care and the physician-patient relationship; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA advocate for policies requiring government, insurance company or other third party entities to fully fund any mandates or regulations imposed on patient care and the physician-patient relationship; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA delegation to our AMA write a resolution for A-20 asking our AMA to advocate for similar policies.

408 Fiscal Note:

\$ 10,000 (Sponsor) \$ 10,000 (Staff)

Resolution 24-2020 - NOT ADOPT Determination of Inpatient/Outpatient Hospital Status

 Comments: Testimony on this resolution was mixed. The committee questioned the resolution's use of Webster's Dictionary to define "outpatient" and "inpatient." Several of the testimonies, though limited overall, requested more language to be added, or brought up concerns about how the resolution is written and what it would accomplish. The committee agrees that the issue raised is a major issue, but believes that the resolution does not encompass all facets of the problem. Hospital status is not just "inpatient" or "outpatient," as there is also "observation status" to be considered. Committee members encourage the resolution authors to bring the resolution back to the HOD in 2021 after revisions to create a more robust resolution for consideration.

 RESOLVED, That the OSMA adopt a position that requires physicians and payors to follow CMS definitions and Webster's Dictionary definition of "outpatient" vs. "inpatient" medical care (whether or not a patient is receiving food AND/OR lodging), and that payors and physicians follow these definitions when submitting or paying for services rendered; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the OSMA request the OSMA delegation to the AMA request that our AMA adopt a position that requires physicians and payors to follow CMS definitions and Webster's Dictionary definition of "outpatient" vs. "inpatient" medical care (whether or not a patient is receiving food AND/OR lodging), and that payors and physicians be required to follow these definitions when submitting or paying for services rendered.

436 Fiscal Note:

\$ 0 (Sponsor) \$100 (Staff)

Resolution 25-2020 - ADOPT Co-Pay Accumulators

Comments: Most of the testimony regarding this resolution was positive and in support. The language in the resolution aligns well with current legislation under consideration in the Ohio Legislature. The committee agreed that overall, this is of obvious benefit to patients, and discussed the ongoing issue of pharmaceutical prices. It was brought to the attention of the committee that this would not address rising costs, and could actually incentivize companies to keep costs high. After consideration, the committee thought that this resolution was intended to protect patients and believes that all forms of payment used by the patient should count toward patients' deductibles.

RESOLVED, That the OSMA take legislative actions to mandate that the value of any vouchers provided to patients by pharmaceutical and durable medical equipment companies and submitted by patients, be counted towards patient's deductibles or out of pocket maximum (Co-Pay Accumulators).

Fiscal Note: \$ 50,000 (Sponsor)

\$50,000 (Staff)

Resolution 26-2020 - ADOPT **Bundled Payments and Medically Necessary Care**

Comments: All testimony provided for this resolution was in support of the resolution as written. The committee felt that all physicians should be fairly reimbursed for necessary and appropriate care of patients, and therefore recommended adoption of the resolution.

466 467 468

469

464

465

RESOLVED. That the OSMA work with Ohio Medicaid to make sure that medically necessary care is done for all patients and that Episodes of Care be carefully reviewed to make sure that the system is reasonable and fair to all, including patients and physicians; and, be it further

470 471 472

473

474

475

RESOLVED, That our AMA Delegation take the issue of "Bundled Payments and Medically Necessary Care" to the AMA Annual Meeting for study and report back to the AMA HOD, to make sure that our health care system is reasonable and fair to all, allows for medically appropriate and necessary care for our patients, and allows for fair reimbursement for physicians.

476 477 478

Fiscal Note: \$1,000 (Sponsor) \$1,000 (Staff)

479 480 481

Resolution 27-2020 - AMEND 2020 OSMA Policy Sunset Report

482 483 484

485

486

487

488

489

Comments: The committee made two changes based on testimony. The first change was regarding Policy 14-2014. The committee felt it was important to have policy on record as supporting pharmacies participating in IMPACT SIIS to track immunizations. The second change was regarding Policy 01-2015. The committee agreed with testimony to retain this policy as edited, because the second part of the resolution was accomplished, but committee members felt that the first part of the resolution remains relevant today.

490 491 492

RESOLVED, That the recommendations of OSMA Council published prior to the Annual Meeting as the 2020 OSMA Policy Sunset Report be adopted by the OSMA House of Delegates.

494 495 496

497

498

493

Ohio State Medical Association Policy Compendium Review -2020 OSMA Policy Sunset Report OSMA policy from years 1932 through 2016 plus Policy 23 – 2019

499 (This is a list of Policy numbers and titles. The full text of policies recommended "RETAIN" as edited and "NOT RETAIN" is contained in this report. All other OSMA 500

501 502	policies will be retaine www.osma.org.)	d as they are shown in the OSMA Policy Compendium available on
503	Policies to be Retained	d as Edited:
504	Policy 21 – 2015	Evidence Based Organized Medicine
505 506	POLICY 01 – 2015 AUTHORIZED BY SEQ	REPEAL THE 2% MEDICARE PHYSICIAN PAYMENT CUTS UESTRATION ACTION
507	Policies to be Not Reta	ained:
508	Policy 09 – 2014	Enforcing State Medical Board of Ohio Transparency
509	Policy 13 – 2014	Retail Pharmacy Participation in IMPACT SIIS
510 511	Policy 01 – 2015 Sequestration Action	Repeal the 2% Medicare Physician Payment Cuts Authorized by
512	Policy 08 – 2015	Revision of HM 314 OARRS Requirements
513	Policy 22 – 2015	Representation for Direct OSMA Members
514	Policy 26 – 2015	Delegate Appointments
515 516 517	Policy 06 – 2016 Preparation for OSMA A 4, Section 10	OSMA to Financially Support Physical Regional District Meetings in annual Meeting, OSMA Constitution and Bylaws Amendment – Chapter
518	Policy 16 – 2016	Eliminate the Requirement of "History and Physical Upsate"
519	Policy 23 – 2019	2019 OSMA Policy Sunset Report
520		
521	Full text of policies reco	mmended "RETAIN" as Edited and "NOT RETAIN"
522	RETAIN as Ed	lited Policy 21 – 2015 – Evidence Based Organized Medicine
523 524 525 526	created the report be pa	t from the OSMA Bylaws Task Force and the background material which ort of an engoing working committee charged with organizational quality cture would be a tiered time commitment similar to that of our current
527 528		organizational quality improvement committee is charged with identifying s by which we can judge the impact of changes.
529 530	 3.—2. All members Delegates deliberation 	of the OSMA shall be invited to attend and participate in House of ons.
531 532	4.—3. The OSMA s House of Delegates	hall proceed with changes to minimize the cost of the OSMA annual meeting.

533	
534	NOT RETAIN Policy 09 – 2014 – Enforcing State Medical Board of Ohio Transparency
535 536 537	 The OSMA shall formally request that the State Medical Board Ohio provide a written report and justification for all services mandated in Ohio through the Federation of State Medical Boards.
538	COMMENT: Accomplished.
539	NOT RETAIN Policy 13 – 2014 – Transfer of Records in Retail Settings
540 541 542	 The OSMA shall work to promote legislation that requires ambulatory clinical care providers and retail clinics to exert a reasonable effort to identify and send a copy of the care record to the patient's primary care physician.
543	COMMENT: Accomplished through regulations and OHIP platform.
544	RETAIN NOT RETAIN Policy 14 – 2014 – Retail Pharmacy Participation in IMPACT SIIS
545 546	 The OSMA shall work to encourage the retail pharmacies of Ohio to voluntarily participate in IMPACT SIIS for improved continuity of care.
547	COMMENT: No longer an OSMA initiative.
548 549	RETAIN AS EDITED NOT RETAIN Policy 01 – 2015 – Repeal the 2% Medicare Physician Payment Cuts Authorized by Sequestration Action
550 551 552	1. The OSMA shall take all necessary legislative and administrative steps to eliminate the hidden 2% "sequestration" Medicare payment cuts for physicians and the Ohio Delegation to the AMA shall take this policy to the AMA for action at the national level.
553	COMMENT: Accomplished.
554	NOT RETAIN Policy 08 – 2015 – Revision of HB 341 OARRS Requirements
555 556	1. The OSMA fully supports both policies passed by the OSMA Council regarding House Bill 341 of the 130 th General Assembly.
557 558	2. The OSMA shall work to postpone penalties for not following the statutory and regulatory query requirements from House Bill 341 of the 130 th General Assembly.
559	COMMENT: Accomplished.
560	NOT RETAIN Policy 22 – 2015 – Representation for Direct OSMA Members
561 562 563	1. Direct members of the OSMA who are not members of a county society shall be invited to attend the geographic District Meeting for either their office or home address and be allowed to vote at that meeting.
564	

565	COMMENT: Supplanted/superseded by 2019 OSMA Constitution and Bylaws changes.
566	NOT RETAIN Policy 26 – 2015 – Delegate Appointments
567 568 569 570 571	1. If a county does not appoint a Delegate to the OSMA House of Delegates annual meeting, the District Councilor may appoint a Delegate to represent that county and that Delegate can be a physician who is an OSMA member who lives in that county or a physician who is an OSMA member with a satellite office in that county who regularly sees patients there and is known to the physicians there, but does not live in that county.
572	COMMENT: Superseded by 2019 OSMA Constitution and Bylaws changes.
573 574 575	NOT RETAIN Policy 06 – 2016 – OSMA to Financially Support Physical Regional District Meetings in Preparation for OSMA Annual Meeting, OSMA Constitution and Bylaws Amendment – Chapter 4, Section 10
576	1. OSMA Bylaws Chapter 4, Section 10 be amended as follows:
577 578 579 580 581	The House of Delegates shall establish Councilor Districts. The districts shall comprise one (1) or more contiguous counties. A district society may be organized in any of the Councilor Districts to meet at such time or times as such society may fix. The OSMA shall allocate funding for one physical meeting of a council district in preparation for the OSMA annual meeting, if requested by the district councilor.
582	COMMENT: Superseded by 2019 Constitution and Bylaws changes.
583 584	NOT RETAIN Policy 16 – 2016 – Eliminate the Requirement of "History and Physical Update"
584 585	Update" 1. The OSMA will work with the Ohio congressional delegation and the American Medical
584 585 586	Update" 1. The OSMA will work with the Ohio congressional delegation and the American Medical Association (AMA) to:
584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591	 Update" 1. The OSMA will work with the Ohio congressional delegation and the American Medical Association (AMA) to: A. Change 42 CFR Section 482.24 (c)(4)(i)(B) to read as follows: If any changes occur in the patient's medical condition after the medical history and physical examination are completed within 30 days before admission or registration, documentation of an updated examination of the patient must be placed in the patient's medical record within 24 hours after admission or registration, but prior to surgery or a
584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592	1. The OSMA will work with the Ohio congressional delegation and the American Medical Association (AMA) to: A. Change 42 CFR Section 482.24 (c)(4)(i)(B) to read as follows: If any changes occur in the patient's medical condition after the medical history and physical examination are completed within 30 days before admission or registration, documentation of an updated examination of the patient must be placed in the patient's medical record within 24 hours after admission or registration, but prior to surgery or a procedure requiring anesthesia services.
584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596	1. The OSMA will work with the Ohio congressional delegation and the American Medical Association (AMA) to: A. Change 42 CFR Section 482.24 (c)(4)(i)(B) to read as follows: If any changes occur in the patient's medical condition after the medical history and physical examination are completed within 30 days before admission or registration, documentation of an updated examination of the patient must be placed in the patient's medical record within 24 hours after admission or registration, but prior to surgery or a procedure requiring anesthesia services. B. Change 42 CFR Section 482.51 (b)(1)(ii) to read as follows: If any changes occur in the patient's condition, an updated examination of the patient must be completed and documented with 24 hours after admission or registration when the medical history and physical examination are completed within 30 days before

NOT RETAIN Policy 23 – 2019 – OSMA Policy Sunset Report 602 603 1. The House of Delegates adopted the recommendations of OSMA Council regarding the policies from 1932 through 2015 as is reflected in the 2019 OSMA Policy Sunset Report 604 available on www.osma.org under Annual Meeting section. The possible actions for the policies 605 606 were Policies to be Retained, Policies to be Retained as Edited and Policies to be Not Retained. 607 **COMMENT:** Accomplished. Fiscal Note: \$0 (Sponsor) 608 609 \$0 (Staff) 610 611 Members of the House, this concludes the report of Resolutions Committee 2. I would like to thank all the members of Resolutions Committee 2 for their excellent cooperation and help they 612 have given me. On behalf of the Committee 2 would like to thank all who offered testimony. I 613 would also like to thank Kelsey Hardin and Joe Rosato for their excellent staff assistance, and to 614 Nancy Gillette for legal review. 615 616 617 Respectfully submitted, Alisha Reiss, MD, Chair 618 619